

**OKLAHOMA STUDENT LOAN AUTHORITY  
MUNICIPAL SECONDARY MARKET DISCLOSURE**

**Standard & Poor's Affirmed two Oklahoma Student Loan Authority Ratings.**

This information applies to ratings on one class of bonds from the following Oklahoma Student Loan Authority transaction.

The Bonds Outstanding under the related trust as of January 24, 2019 are:

<i><u>Series</u></i>	<i><u>Outstanding Principal Amount</u></i>	<i><u>Cusip #</u></i>	<i><u>Comments</u></i>
Senior 2010A-2A	34,395,000	679110 DZ6	Non-AMT LIBOR FRN
Senior 2010A-2B	29,705,000	679110 EB8	Non-AMT LIBOR FRN
Total Outstanding Debt	<u>\$64,100,000</u>		

On January 24, 2019, Standard & Poor's affirmed its ratings on one class of bonds from Oklahoma Student Loan Authority's Series 2010A. The affirmations reflect S&P's view that the current credit enhancement level (all rated classes have parity above 115%), coupled with a strong payment structure and collateral profile, are sufficient to support the current ratings. Releases to the issuer are not allowed until all of the rated classes have been paid in full. Accordingly, S&P is affirming their current 'AAA+ (sf)' ratings on the bonds from the 2010A series.

## Ratings Affirmed On 37 Classes From 32 FFELP Student Loan Transactions

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Shane N Franciscovich, New York (1) 212-438-2033; shane.franciscovich@spglobal.com

**Analytical Manager, Term ABS:**

Frank J Trick, New York (1) 212-438-1108; frank.trick@spglobal.com

**Research Contributor:**

Rahul Joshi, CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an S&P affiliate, Mumbai

### OVERVIEW

- We affirmed our 'AAA (sf)' ratings on 37 classes from 32 ABS transactions backed by FFELP student loans.
- The affirmations reflect our view that the current credit enhancement levels (all rated classes have parity above 115%), coupled with a strong payment structure and collateral profile, are sufficient to support the current ratings. Releases to the issuer are not allowed until all of the rated classes have been paid in full.

NEW YORK (S&P Global Ratings) Jan. 24, 2019--S&P Global Ratings today affirmed its ratings on 37 classes issued from 32 Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) student loan asset-backed securities transactions.

Our review considered the transactions' collateral performance, changes in credit enhancement, and capital and payment structures. We also considered secondary credit factors, such as credit stability, peer comparison, and issuer-specific analyses.

### COLLATERAL

These transactions are primarily backed by pools of Stafford, Consolidation, and Plus student loans originated through the U.S. Department of Education's

(ED's) FFELP. Loans that have been serviced according to the FFELP guidelines are supported by a guarantee from the ED, which reimburses at least 97% of a defaulted loan's principal and interest. Accordingly, net losses are expected to be minimal.

For all of the transactions, the majority of loans are in repayment. The amount of loans in nonpaying status (in school, grace period, deferment, delinquency, and forbearance) has declined since inception. Given the generally high levels of overcollateralization, the percentage of loans in nonpaying status has minimal effect on the deals. Based on the historical principal paydowns of the bonds and the transaction's structural features, we expect that these bonds will be paid in full by their respective legal final maturity dates.

Of note are the Rhode Island Student Loan Authority's series 2014-1 and the Edsouth Indenture No. 2 LLC 2012-1 transactions, which have rehab loans (still guaranteed at least 97%) that we expect to have higher levels of defaults. While defaults will be higher for a structure with rehab loans, so will prepayments (through the guarantee on the default). At this time, we believe prepayments for an over-collateralized, full-turbo structure with a low cost of funds is actually a strength for these notes.

#### PAYMENT STRUCTURE - SEQUENTIAL NONRELEASING STRUCTURES

All of the transactions benefit from turbo features that require the rated notes to be paid in full before they can release remaining available funds to the issuer. Transactions with more than one class allocate principal payments sequentially. Credit enhancement includes overcollateralization (parity), subordination for certain classes, the reserve account, and excess spread. Since the bonds were last reviewed, overcollateralization, as measured by parity (generally defined as total assets divided by the total bond balance), has increased, mainly due to excess spread being used to pay down the notes. We expect the turbo features to result in increasing overcollateralization during the transactions' lives.

#### RATIONALE

The affirmations reflect our views regarding these transactions' future collateral performance, as well as the current credit enhancement available to support the bonds, which has increased since our last review.

We rely on the long-term sovereign rating on the U.S. government ('AA+') for the guaranty reimbursement on defaults, special allowance payments, and interest subsidy payments on the collateral. Based on our collective experience in rating ABS backed by FFELP loans, we generally believe a 115% parity for nonreleasing structures, is commensurate with a 'AAA' rating. As such, ratings on classes in this review with parity greater than 115% are 'AAA (sf)'

#### LIQUIDITY

Based on the historical principal paydowns of the notes, the funds available

for distribution, and the transaction's structural features, we expect these notes will be paid in full by their legal final maturity dates.

We will continue to review whether, in our view, the ratings assigned to the bonds remain consistent with the credit enhancement available to support them, and will take rating actions as we deem necessary.

#### RELATED CRITERIA

- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Ratings Above The Sovereign - Structured Finance: Methodology And Assumptions, Aug. 8, 2016
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Methodology: Criteria For Global Structured Finance Transactions Subject To A Change In Payment Priorities Or Sale Of Collateral Upon A Nonmonetary EOD, March 2, 2015
- General Criteria: U.S. Government Support In Structured Finance And Public Finance Ratings, Dec. 7, 2014
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012
- General Criteria: Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Standard & Poor's Revises Criteria Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009
- Legal Criteria: Legal Criteria For U.S. Structured Finance Transactions: Select Issues Criteria, Oct. 1, 2006
- Legal Criteria: Legal Criteria For U.S. Structured Finance Transactions: Special-Purpose Entities, Oct. 1, 2006
- Legal Criteria: Legal Criteria For U.S. Structured Finance Transactions: Securitizations By SPE Transferors And Non-Code Transferors, Oct. 1, 2006
- Legal Criteria: Legal Criteria For U.S. Structured Finance Transactions: Appendix III: Revised UCC Article 9 Criteria, Oct. 1, 2006
- Legal Criteria: Legal Criteria For U.S. Structured Finance Transactions: Criteria Related To Asset-Backed Securities, Oct. 1, 2006
- Legal Criteria: Legal Criteria For U.S. Structured Finance Transactions: Securitizations By Code Transferors, Oct. 1, 2006
- Criteria - Structured Finance - ABS: Student Loan Criteria: Evaluating Risk In Student Loan Transactions, Oct. 1, 2004
- Criteria - Structured Finance - ABS: Student Loan Criteria: Rating Methodology For Student Loan Transactions, Oct. 1, 2004
- Criteria - Structured Finance - ABS: Student Loan Criteria: Structural Elements In Student Loan Transactions, Oct. 1, 2004

#### RELATED RESEARCH

- Request For Comment: U.S. Structured Finance Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Criteria, Jan. 11, 2019
- Global Structured Finance Outlook 2019: Securitization Continues To Be Energized With Potential \$1 Trillion In Volume Expected Again, Jan. 7, 2019

*Ratings Affirmed On 37 Classes From 32 FFELP Student Loan Transactions*

- U.S. Biweekly Economic Roundup: The U.S. Labor Market Is Holding Its Own, Jan. 4, 2019
- Request For Comment: U.S. FFELP Student Loan ABS: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 12, 2018
- Request For Comment: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, Oct. 9, 2018
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- The Rating Process For Student Loan Transactions, Oct. 1, 2004
- Student Loan Programs, Oct. 1, 2004

In addition to the criteria specific to this type of security (listed above), the following criteria articles, which are generally applicable to all ratings, may have affected this rating action: "Post-Default Ratings Methodology: When Does Standard & Poor's Raise A Rating From 'D' Or 'SD'?", March 23, 2015; "Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions," Oct. 9, 2014; "Methodology: Timeliness of Payments: Grace Periods, Guarantees, And Use of 'D' And 'SD' Ratings," Oct. 24, 2013; "Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions," June 25, 2013; "Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings," Oct. 1, 2012; "Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria," May 3, 2010; and "Use of CreditWatch And Outlooks," Sept. 14, 2009.

RATINGS AFFIRMED

Rhode Island Student Loan Authority

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2014-1	2014-1	762315NR6	AAA (sf)

Arkansas Student Loan Authority

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2012-1	2012-1	041150DK6	AAA (sf)

Louisiana Public Facilities Authority

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2011A	2011 A-2	546398K49	AAA (sf)
2011A	2011 A-3	546398K56	AAA (sf)

Bank Of America Student Loan Trust 2010-1

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010-1	A	06052LAA5	AAA (sf)

SLC Student Loan Trust 2010-1

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010-1	A	78444WAA7	AAA (sf)

Nelnet Student Loan Trust 2009-2

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2009-2	A	64032MAA7	AAA (sf)

*Ratings Affirmed On 37 Classes From 32 FFELP Student Loan Transactions*

Nelnet Student Loan Trust 2009-3  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2009-3            A                    64032NAA5        AAA (sf)

Nelnet Student Loan Trust 2010-1  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2010-1            A                    64032QAA8        AAA (sf)

Nelnet Student Loan Trust 2010-3  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2010-3            A                    64031BAA2        AAA (sf)

Nelnet Student Loan Trust 2010-4  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2010-4            A                    86386YAA6        AAA (sf)

Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2009-1            A-1                709163HT9        AAA (sf)  
 2009-2            A-2                709163HV4        AAA (sf)

North Texas Higher Education Authority  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2010-2            2010-2            662826EY8        AAA (sf)  
 2011-1            2011-1            662826FD3        AAA (sf)

Edsouth Indenture No. 1 LLC  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2010-1            A-1                281379AA5        AAA (sf)

Iowa Student Loan Liquidity Corp.  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2011-1            2011-1            462590HW3        AAA (sf)

Brazos Higher Education Authority Inc.  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2011-1            2011 A-2            10620NCG1        AAA (sf)  
 2011-1            2011 A-3            10620NCH9        AAA (sf)

Brazos Education Loan Authority Inc.  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2012-1            2012 A-1            10620WAA6        AAA (sf)

New Hampshire Higher Education Loan Corp.  
 Series            Class            CUSIP            Rating  
 2011-1            A-2                644617AB8        AAA (sf)  
 2011-1            A-3                644617AC6        AAA (sf)

*Ratings Affirmed On 37 Classes From 32 FFELP Student Loan Transactions*

Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2009-1	A-2	606072KN5	AAA (sf)
2010-2	A-1	606072KS4	AAA (sf)

Illinois Student Assistance Commission

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010-1	A-3	452281JD1	AAA (sf)

Northstar Student Loan Trust I

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2012-1	A	66705EAA6	AAA (sf)

South Carolina Student Loan Corp.

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2008-1	A-4	83715AAH2	AAA (sf)

Oklahoma Student Loan Authority

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010A	2010 A-2A	679110DZ6	AAA (sf)
2010A	2010 A-2B	679110EB8	AAA (sf)

Goal Capital Funding Trust 2010-1

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010-1	2010-1 NT	38021EAA2	AAA (sf)

Panhandle-Plains Higher Education Authority Inc.

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010-1	2010-1 A-4	698476EV6	AAA (sf)
2010-2	2010-2	698475AM2	AAA (sf)
2011-1	2011-1 A-2	698475AP5	AAA (sf)
2011-1	2011-1 A-3	698475AQ3	AAA (sf)

Edsouth Indenture No. 2 LLC

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2012-1	A	281380AA3	AAA (sf)

EFS Volunteer LLC

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2010-1	A-2	26844VAB2	AAA (sf)

Educational Funding Of The South Inc.

Series	Class	CUSIP	Rating
2011-1	A-2	28148WAB9	AAA (sf)

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.